

On $N\lambda\Psi g$ - Closed Sets In Nano Topological Spaces

P.Subbulakshmi¹ & Dr.N.R.Santhi Maheswari²

¹ Research Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, G.Venkataswamy Naidu College, Kovilpatti-628 502, Tamil Nadu, India.

Affiliation of Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli - 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India.

subbulakshmi10494@gmail.com

² Associate Professor and Head, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, G.Venkataswamy Naidu College Kovilpatti-628 502, Tamil Nadu, India.

Affiliation of Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli - 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce nano (Λ, Ψ) -open, nano (Λ, Ψ) -closed sets, nano $\lambda\Psi g$ -closed sets in nano topological spaces and investigate some of their properties.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 54C10.

Key words : $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open sets, $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed sets and $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed sets.

1 Introduction

M.K.R.S.Veerakumar [6] was introduced the notion of Ψ closed sets in topological spaces. Maki [3] introduced the notion of Λ -sets in topological spaces in 1986. Λ -set is a set A which is equal to its kernel, i.e., to the intersection of all open supersets of A . Lellis Thivagar introduced [2] nano topological space with respect to a subset X of a universe which is defined in terms of lower and upper approximation of X . The elements of nano topological space are called nano open sets. He has also defined nano closed sets, nano interior and nano closure of a set. He also introduced the weak forms of nano open sets. N.R.Santhi Maheswari and P.Subbulakshmi [5] nano $N\Lambda_\Psi(A)$ sets, nano $N\Lambda_\Psi^*(A)$ sets, nano Λ_Ψ -set and nano Λ_Ψ^* -set in nano topological spaces and investigate some of their properties. In this paper, we introduce Nano (Λ, Ψ) -Closed sets, Nano (Λ, Ψ) -Open sets and Nano $\lambda\Psi$ generalized Closed sets. Also we introduced their characterizations and also established their properties and relationships with other classes of early defined forms.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [2] Let U be the Universe and R be an equivalence relation U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \varphi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) U and $\varphi \in \tau_R(X)$.
- (2) The union of elements of any subcollection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
- (3) The intersection of the elements of any finite subcollection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$. We call $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called a open sets and the complement of a nano open set is called nano closed sets.

Throughout this paper $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$, R is an equivalence relation on U , U/R denotes the family of equivalence classes of U by R .

Definition 2.2. [2] If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a nano topological space with respect to X . Where $X \subseteq G$ and if $A \subseteq G$, then

- The nano interior of the set A is defined as the union of all nano open subsets contained in A and is denoted by $Nint(A)$, $Nint(A)$ is the largest nano open subset of A .
- The nano closure of the set A is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing A and is denoted by $Ncl(A)$. $Ncl(A)$ is the smallest nano closed set containing A .

Definition 2.3. [2] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq G$. Then A is said to be

- (i) Nano semi-open if $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(A))$
- (ii) Nano α -open if $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint(A)))$
- (iii) Nano regular-open (briefly Nr) if $A = Nint(Ncl(A))$

Definition 2.4. [5] Let A be a subset of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$. A subset $N\Lambda_\Psi(A)$ is defined as $N\Lambda_\Psi(A) = \bigcap \{H/A \subseteq H \text{ and } H \in N\Psi O(U, \tau_R(X))\}$.

Definition 2.5. [5] A subset A of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a $N\Lambda_\Psi(A)$ -set if $A = N\Lambda_\Psi(A)$. The set of all $N\Lambda_\Psi(A)$ -sets is denoted by $N\Lambda\Psi(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Definition 2.6. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq G$. Then A is said to be

1. Nano generalized closed (briefly Ng) [1] if $Ncl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is nano-open in U .
2. Nano semi generalized closed (briefly Nsg) [1] if $Nscl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is nano-semi open in U .
3. Nano Ψ -closed [6] if $Nscl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is nano-sg open in U .

Lemma 2.7. [5] The intersection of any two $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -sets are $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set.

3 Nano (Λ, Ψ) -closed sets and Nano (Λ, Ψ) -open sets.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a subset of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$. A subset $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ closed if $A = B \cap C$, where B is $N\Lambda_\Psi$ set and C is a $N\Psi$ closed set. The family of all $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed sets is denoted by $N\Lambda_\Psi C(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Remark 3.2. The complement of $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set is called the $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set.

Theorem 3.3. If A is $N\Psi$ -closed then it is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed.

Proof. Let A be a $N\Psi$ -closed set. Then $A = U \cap A$, where U is $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set and A is a $N\Psi$ -closed set. Hence A is a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set.

Remark 3.4. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, d\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Then $N\Psi C(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U\}$ and $N\Lambda_\Psi C(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U\}$. Here $\{a, b, d\}$ is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed but is not $N\Psi$ -closed.

Theorem 3.6. If A is $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -closed then it is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed.

Proof. Let A be a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -closed set. Then $A = U \cap A$, where U is $N\Psi$ -closed and A is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -closed set. Hence A is a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set.

Remark 3.7. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.8. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $X = \{b, c\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then $N\Lambda_\Psi C(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U\}$ and $N(\Lambda, \Psi)C(U, \tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here $\{a, b, c\}$ is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed but is not $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -closed.

Theorem 3.9. For a subset A of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ the following statements are equivalent

1. A is a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set
2. $A = B \cap N\Psi cl(A)$, where B is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set
3. $A = N\Lambda_\Psi(A) \cap N\Psi cl(A)$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $A = B \cap C$, where B is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set and C is a $N\Psi$ -closed set. Now, $A \subseteq C$ and C is $N\Psi$ -closed which implies $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq N\Psi cl(C) = C$. That implies, $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq C$ and $A = B \cap C \supseteq B \cap N\Psi cl(A) \supseteq A$. Hence $A = B \cap N\Psi cl(A)$, where B is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $A = B \cap N\Psi cl(A)$, where B is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set. Now, $A \subseteq B$ and B is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set which implies $N\Lambda_\Psi(A) \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq N\Lambda_\Psi(A) \cap N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq B \cap N\Psi cl(A) = A$. Hence $A = N\Lambda_\Psi(A) \cap N\Psi cl(A)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Since $N\Lambda_\Psi(A)$ is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set, $N\Psi cl(A)$ is $N\Psi$ -closed and $A = N\Lambda_\Psi(A) \cap N\Psi cl(A)$, by definition 3.1, we have A is a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.10. For a subset $A_i (i \in I)$ of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ the following properties hold:

1. U and ϕ are both $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed and also $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open.
2. If A_i is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed for each $i \in I$, then $\bigcap \{A_i / i \in I\}$ is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed.

Proof. (1) It is obvious.

(2) Let A_i be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set for each $i \in I$. Therefore, for each $i \in I$, there exist a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set B_i and a $N\Psi$ -closed set C_i such that $A_i = B_i \cap C_i$. $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} (B_i \cap C_i) = (\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i)$. By lemma 2.7, $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ is a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set and $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$ is a $N\Psi$ -closed set. Therefore, $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ is the intersection of a $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -set and a $N\Psi$ -closed set. Hence $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed.

4 Nano $\lambda\Psi$ generalized closed set

Definition 4.1. A subset A of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called Nano $\lambda\Psi$ generalized closed set (briefly $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed) if $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq H$, whenever $A \subseteq H$ and H is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open in U .

The family of all $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ called by $N\lambda\Psi GC((U, \tau_R(X)))$.

Theorem 4.2. Every nano closed set is $N\lambda\Psi g$ - closed.

Proof. Let A be a nano closed and H be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set containing A . Since A is nano-closed, we have $Ncl(A) = A$. But $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq Ncl(A) = A \subseteq H$. Hence A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Remark 4.3. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.4. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, c\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Then $N\lambda\Psi GC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Here $\{c\}$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ - closed but is not nano closed.

Theorem 4.5. Every $N\Psi$ -closed set is $N\lambda\Psi g$ - closed.

Proof. Let A be a $N\Psi$ -closed and H be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set containing A . Since A is $N\Psi$ -closed, we have $N\Psi cl(A) = A$. Therefore $N\Psi cl(A) = A \subseteq H$. Hence A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Remark 4.6. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.7. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Then $N\Psi C(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ and $N\lambda\Psi GC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Here $\{a\}$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ - closed but is not $N\Psi$ -closed.

Theorem 4.8. Every Nr -closed set is $N\lambda\Psi g$ - closed.

Proof. Let A be a Nr -closed and H be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set containing A . Since A is Nr -closed, we have $Nrcl(A) = A$. But $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq Nrcl(A) = A \subseteq H$. Hence A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Remark 4.9. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.10. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, c\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then $NRC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $N\lambda\Psi GC(U, \tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here $\{b\}$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed but is not Nr -closed.

Theorem 4.11. Every Ns -closed set is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Proof. Let A be a Ns -closed and H be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set containing A . Since A is Ns -closed, we have $Nscl(A) = A$. But $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq Nscl(A) = A \subseteq H$. Hence A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Remark 4.12. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.13. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $X = \{b, c\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then $NSC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ and $N\lambda\Psi GC(U, \tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here $\{c, d\}$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed but is not Ns -closed.

Theorem 4.14. Every $N\alpha$ -closed set is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Proof. Let A be a $N\alpha$ -closed and H be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set containing A . Since A is $N\alpha$ -closed, we have $N\alpha cl(A) = A$. But $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq N\alpha cl(A) = A \subseteq H$. Hence A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Remark 4.15. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.16. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, c\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Then $N\alpha C(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $N\lambda\Psi GC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Here $\{d\}$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed but is not $N\alpha$ -closed.

Theorem 4.17. Every Ng -closed set is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Proof. Let A be a Ng -closed in U . Let H be a nano open set in U such that $A \subseteq H$. Since every open set is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open, we have $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq Ncl(A) \subseteq H$, where H is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open. Hence A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Remark 4.18. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.19. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Then $NGC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ and $N\lambda\Psi GC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Here $\{a\}$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed but is not Ng -closed.

Theorem 4.20. The intersection of any two subsets of $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Proof. If $A \cap B \subseteq G$ and G is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open sets. Since A and B are $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed, $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq G$ and $N\Psi cl(B) \subseteq G$, whenever $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq G$ and G is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open and hence $N\Psi cl(A \cap B) = N\Psi cl(A) \cap N\Psi cl(B) \subseteq G$. Hence $N\Psi cl(A \cap B) \subseteq G$. Thus $A \cap B$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Remark 4.21. If the subsets A and B are $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed sets, their union need not be $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set.

Example 4.22. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, c\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Then $N\lambda\Psi GC(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Here $\{a\}$ and $\{d\}$ are $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed but their union $\{a, d\}$ is not $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed.

Theorem 4.23. Let A be a $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $N\Psi cl(A) - A$ does not contain a non empty $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set.

Proof. Suppose that A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed. Let H be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set contained in $N\Psi cl(A) - A$. Now H^c is a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set in U such that $A \subseteq H^c$. Since A is a $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed, $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq H^c$. Thus

$H \subseteq (N\Psi cl(A))^c$. Also $H \subseteq N\Psi cl(A) - A$. Therefore $H \subseteq (N\Psi cl(A))^c \cap N\Psi cl(A) = \phi$. Hence $N\Psi cl(A) - A$ does not contain a non empty $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set.

Theorem 4.24. If A is a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set and $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ then A is a $N\Psi$ -closed set of U .

Proof. Let A be $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open and $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed then $N\Psi cl(A) - A$. Hence A is $N\Psi$ -closed.

Theorem 4.25. If A is a $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set and $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open and H is $N\Psi$ - closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then $A \cap H$ is $N\Psi$ -closed.

Proof. Let A be $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed and $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open. By theorem 4.24, A is $N\Psi$ -closed. Since H is $N\Psi$ -closed in U , $A \cap H$ is $N\Psi$ -closed in U .

Theorem 4.26. If A is a $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq N\Psi cl(A)$, then B is also a $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set.

Proof. Let G be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open set of U containing B . Then $A \subseteq G$. Since A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed, $N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq G$. Also since $B \subseteq N\Psi cl(A)$, $N\Psi cl(B) \subseteq N\Psi cl(N\Psi cl(A)) = N\Psi cl(A)$. Hence $N\Psi cl(B) \subseteq G$ and therefore B is $N\lambda\Psi g$ - closed.

Theorem 4.27. Let A be a $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then A is $N\Psi$ - closed iff $N\Psi cl(A) - A$ is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed.

Proof. **Necessity:** Let A be a $N\Psi$ -closed subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $N\Psi cl(A) = A$ and so $N\Psi cl(A) - A = \phi$, which is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed.

Sufficiency: Let $N\Psi cl(A) - A$ be $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed. Since A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed, by theorem 4.23, $N\Psi cl(A) - A$ does not contain a non-empty $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set which implies $N\Psi cl(A) - A = \phi$. Therefore $N\Psi cl(A) = A$ and hence A is $N\Psi$ -closed.

Definition 4.28. A subset A of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open if its complement A^c is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. The family of all $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is denoted by $N\lambda\Psi GO(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Lemma 4.29. For a subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$, $N\Psi int(U - A) = U - N\Psi cl(A)$.

Proof. Now, $N\Psi int(A) \subseteq A \subseteq N\Psi cl(A)$. Hence $U - N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq U - A \subseteq U - N\Psi int(A)$. Therefore $U - N\Psi cl(A)$ is the $N\Psi$ -open set contained in $U - A$. But $N\Psi int(U - A)$ is the largest $N\Psi$ -open set contained in $U - A$. Thus $U - N\Psi cl(A) \subseteq N\Psi int(U - A)$. On the other hand, if $x \in N\Psi int(U - A)$, there exists a $N\Psi$ -open set G containing x such that $G \subseteq U - A$. Hence $G \cap A = \phi$. Therefore, $x \notin N\Psi cl(A)$ and hence $x \in (U - N\Psi cl(A))$. Thus $N\Psi int(U - A) \subseteq U - N\Psi cl(A)$. Hence $N\Psi int(U - A) = U - N\Psi cl(A)$.

Theorem 4.30. A subset A of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $N\lambda\Psi g$ - open if and only if $F \subseteq N\Psi int(A)$, whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed.

Proof. **Necessity:** Assume that A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open. Then A^c is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed. Let F be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $F \subseteq A$. Then F^c is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $A^c \subseteq F^c$. Since A^c is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed, $N\Psi cl(A^c) \subseteq F^c$. Also since $N\Psi cl(A^c) = \{N\Psi int(A)\}^c \subseteq A^c$. Hence $F \subseteq N\Psi int(A^c)$.

Sufficiency: Conversely, assume that $F \subseteq N\Psi\text{int}(A)$, whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $A^c \subseteq F^c$ and F^c is $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -open. Take $G = F^c$, since $F \subseteq N\Psi\text{int}(A)$, $N\Psi\text{cl}(A^c) \subseteq F^c = G$. Also since $N\Psi\text{cl}(A^c) = \{N\Psi\text{int}(A)\}^c \subseteq U$. Thus A^c is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -closed and hence A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open.

Proposition 4.31. If $N\Psi\text{int}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then B is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Proof. Suppose that A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open and $N\Psi\text{int}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$. Let F be a $N(\Lambda, \Psi)$ -closed and $F \subseteq B$. Since $F \subseteq B$, $B \subseteq A$. Since A is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open, $F \subseteq N\Psi\text{int}(A)$. Since $N\Psi\text{int}(A) \subseteq B$, $N\Psi\text{int}(N\Psi\text{int}(A)) \subseteq N\Psi\text{int}(B)$. Then $N\Psi\text{int}(A) \subseteq N\Psi\text{int}(B)$. Since $F \subseteq N\Psi\text{int}(A)$, $N\Psi\text{int}(F) \subseteq N\Psi\text{int}(B)$. Therefore B is $N\lambda\Psi g$ -open.

References

- [1] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Ezhilarasi, "On Nano semi generalized and Nano generalized semi-closed sets", IJMCR, 4(3) (2014), 117-124.
- [2] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, "On Nano forms of weakly open sets", International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1) 2013, 31-37
- [3] H. Maki, "Generalized α -sets and the associated closure operator", Special Issue in Commemoration of Prof. Kazusada Ikedas Retirement (1986), 139- 146.
- [4] Z. Pawlak, "Rough sets", International journal of computer and Information Sciences, 11(5)(1982), 341-356.
- [5] P.Subbulakshmi and N.R.Santhi Maheswari, "On $N\Lambda_\Psi$ -Sets and $N\Lambda_\Psi^*$ -Sets in Nano Topological Spaces", (Communicated).
- [6] M.K.R.S. Veera kumar, "Between semi closed sets and semi pre closed sets", Rend.Istit.Mat.Univ.Trieste XXXII, (2000), 25-41.