

UNIQUENESS AND WEIGHTED SET SHARING OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS CONCERNING TWO DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

Somalatha M.T¹, Shilpa N²

¹Departement of Mathematics, Government Science College, Nrupatunga University, Nrupatunga Road, Bangalore - 560 001, India.

²Presidency University, Itgalpura, Bangalore - 560 064, India.

Abstract

In this article, we use the concept of weighted set sharing and study uniqueness of two differential polynomials which shares a set of small functions. Results obtained here generalizes the results of Zhang and Lii, Banerjee and Majumder, Charak and Lal and D.C Pramanik.

Keywords: Uniqueness Meromorphic Function Small Function Weighted Set Sharing Differential Polynomial.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35, 30D45.

1 Introduction and Definitions

In this article, a non-constant meromorphic functions denoted by f in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Throughout the paper, the standard notations of Nevanlinna theory are adapted as explained in [1, 2, 3, 4]. It is convenient to let E denote a set of positive real numbers of finite linear measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For a nonconstant meromorphic function f , we denote $T(r, f)$ the Nevanlinna characteristic of f and by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r \notin E$. We represent the small function by $\gamma(z)$ with respect to $f(z)$ if $T(r, \gamma(z)) = S(r, f)$. The collection of all small functions with respect to f is denoted by $S(f)$. Clearly $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \in S(f)$ is a field over the set of complex number. If $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, then

$$\delta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$$

and

$$\Theta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$$

are called the deficiency and ramification index of a function f respectively.

Definition 1.1 Let β be non-negative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote $E_\beta(a; f)$ the set of all a -points of f where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq \beta$ and $\beta+1$ times if $m > \beta$. If $E_\beta(a; f) = E_\beta(a; g)$ we say that f, g share the value a with weight β ,

Clearly, if f, g share (a, β) , then f, g share (a, p) for any integer p , $0 \leq p \leq \beta$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ and (a, ∞) respectively.

We say that two function f and g share the set S CM (IM) if $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$ ($\bar{E}_f(S) = \bar{E}_g(S)$) where the set

$$S \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Definition 1.2 [5]. An expression of the form

$$P[f] = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i(z) f^{n_{i0}} (f')^{n_{i1}} \dots (f^{(k)})^{n_{it}} \quad (1.1)$$

is called as differential polynomial in f of degree $\bar{d}(P)$, lower degree $\underline{d}(P)$ and weight Γ_P , where $n_{i0}, n_{i1}, \dots, n_{ik}$ are non-negative integers and $a_i(z)$ are meromorphic functions satisfying $T(r, a_i) = S(r, f)$ and

$$\bar{d}(P) = \max\left\{\sum_{j=0}^k n_{ij} ; 1 \leq i \leq t\right\}$$

$$\underline{d}(P) = \min\left\{\sum_{j=0}^k n_{ij} ; 1 \leq i \leq t\right\}$$

$$\Gamma_P = \max\left\{\sum_{j=0}^k (j+1)n_{ij} ; 1 \leq i \leq t\right\}.$$

If $\bar{d}P = \underline{d}P = n$ (say), then we say that $P[f]$ is called a homogeneous differential polynomial in f of degree n . Otherwise it is called as non-homogeneous differential polynomial.

From last two decades researchers are interested in proving uniqueness results between entire(or meromorphic) function and their derivatives. One can refer Rubel and Yang [11] for f and f' share α, β CM where $\alpha \neq \beta$ and Mues and Steinmertz [1979] for f and f' share α, β IM where $\alpha \neq \beta$. In 2020, Banerjee and Mazumder [17] answered the open question posed by Zhang and Lü [16] using the concept of weighted sharing method sharing a small function 'a' for the functions f and $(f^m)^{(k)}$. Later on Charak and Lal [18] used the concept for proving uniqueness between polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$ with $p(0) = 0$ and a non-constant differential polynomial. In 2019, D.C. Pramanik [19] used the concept weighted set sharing to prove uniqueness results of the $p(f)$ and $P[f]$.

Motivated by these results, we used the concept of weighted set sharing to study the uniqueness results of two non-homogeneous differential polynomials and prove the following results.

Theorem 1.11 Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let $a(z) \in S(f) \cap S(g)$ and $a \neq 0, \infty$. Suppose that $H[f]$ and $H[g]$ are two differential polynomials as defined in (1.1) which are non-constant. If $H[f]$ and $H[g]$ share (S_m, l) with one of the following conditions hold:

(i) $l \geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{(3 + mQ + 2m\Gamma - 2m\underline{d}H)\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\ & , (3 + mQ + 2m\Gamma - 2m\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)})\} \\ & \leq (2mQ + 2m\Gamma + 4m\bar{d}H - 7m\underline{d}(H) + 3 + 2m) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

(ii) $l = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\left\{\left(\frac{2mQ+5m\Gamma-5m\underline{d}(H)+7}{2}\right)\Theta(\infty, f)+mQ\Theta(0, f)\right. \\
& +\frac{m}{2}\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)})+2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)}), \\
& \left.\left(\frac{2mQ+5m\Gamma-5m\underline{d}(H)+7}{2}\right)\Theta(\infty, g)+mQ\Theta(0, g)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{m}{2}\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)})+2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)})\right\} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{4mQ+5m\Gamma+10m\bar{d}H-17m\underline{d}(H)+7+5m}{2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

(iii) $l=0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\{(mQ+5m\Gamma-5m\underline{d}(H)+6)\Theta(\infty, f)+mQ\Theta(0, f) \\
& +2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)})+3m\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)}), \\
& (mQ+5m\Gamma-5m\underline{d}(H)+6)\Theta(\infty, g)+mQ\Theta(0, g) \\
& +2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)})+3m\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)})\} \\
& \leq(2mQ+5m\Gamma+10\bar{d}H-16\underline{d}(H)+6+5m)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Then either $H[f]\equiv H[g]$ or $H[f]H[g]=1$

Lemmas

In this section we use the following lemmas which are needed to prove our theorem. **Lemma 2.1 [6]:** Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and $P[f]$ be a differential polynomial of f . Then

$$m\left(r, \frac{P[f]}{f dP}\right) \leq (\bar{d}P - \underline{d}P)m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f)$$

$$N\left(r, \frac{P[f]}{f dP}\right) \leq (\bar{d}P - \underline{d}P)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + Q\left[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right] + S(r, f)$$

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{P[f]}\right) \leq Q\bar{N}(r, f) + (\bar{d}P - \underline{d}P)m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\underline{d}(P)}}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Where $Q = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{n_{i0} + n_{i1} + 2n_{i2} + \dots + kn_{ik}\}$

Lemma 2.2 [7]: Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. If f and g share $(l, 0)$, then

$$\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r).$$

Lemma 2.3 [8]: Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. If f and g share (l, l) , then

$$2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + \bar{N}_E^2\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) - \bar{N}_{f>2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) \\ \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right).$$

Lemma 2.4 [9]: Let j and p be two positive integers satisfying $j \geq p+1$ and $\Gamma > (k+1)\underline{d}(H) - (P+1)$, then for a differential polynomial $H[f]$

$$N_p(r, 0; H[f]) \leq N_{p+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{d(H)}) + (\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ + (\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H)) \left[m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + T(r, f) \right] + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.5 [10]: For a differential polynomial $H[f]$, we have

$$\underline{d}(H)T(r, f) - Q \left[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \right] + S(r, f) \\ \leq T(r, H[f]) \leq Q\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{d}(H)T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.11:

Let

$$F_1 = \frac{H[f]}{a} \quad \text{and} \quad G_1 = \frac{H[g]}{a}$$

Set

$$F = (F_1)^m, \quad G = (G_1)^m$$

Then F and G share $(1, l)$ with the possible exception of the zeros and poles of $a(z)$

Also we have

$$\bar{N}(r, F) = \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

$$\bar{N}(r, G) = \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, g)$$

We define

$$\psi = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right) \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose that $\psi \not\equiv 0$. Then $m(r, \psi) = S(r, f)$. By Second Fundamental Theorem of Nevanlinna we have

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) - \bar{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) - \bar{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f) \quad (3.2)$$

Case 1: For $l \geq 1$, if z_0 is a common simple 1-point of F and G . Then substituting their Taylor series at z_0 in $\psi(z)$. We

see that z_0 is a zero of $\psi(z)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{\psi}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq T(r, \psi) + S(r, f) \\
 &= N(r, \psi) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}_{\varrho_2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}_{\varrho_2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here $N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right)$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $F' = 0$ but $F(F-1) \neq 0$ since F and G share 1 IM, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &= N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
 &\quad + N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
 &= \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for $N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)$, using (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}_{\varrho_2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}_{\varrho_2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
 &\quad + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + S(r, f)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Subcase 1.1: If $l = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \mid F \neq 0\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $N\left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \mid F \neq 0\right)$ denotes the zeros of F' , which are not the zeros of F . Now from (2.5) and (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &+ 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
 &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, f)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.4) and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\
& + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) \\
& + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

From (2.3), (3.2) and (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) + T(r, G) & \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f) \\
& + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + T(r, G) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) \\
& + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\bar{N}(r, g) = \bar{N}(r, f)$, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore T(r, F) & \leq \frac{7}{2}\bar{N}(r, f) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) \\
T(r, F_1^m) & \leq \frac{7}{2}\bar{N}(r, f) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^m}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^m}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^m}\right) + S(r, f)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Using Lemma (2.4), Lemma (2.5) and (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
& m\left\{ \underline{d}(H)T(r, f) - \mathcal{Q}\left[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right] \right\} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{m(3\Gamma - 3\underline{d}(H)) + 7}{2}\right)\bar{N}(r, f) + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) + 3m(\bar{d}(H) \\
& - \underline{d}(H))T(r, f) + 2m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, g) + \frac{m}{2}N_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& m(4\underline{d}(H) - 3\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{m(3\Gamma - 3\underline{d}(H) + 2\mathcal{Q}) + 7}{2}\right)\bar{N}(r, f) + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) \\
& + 2m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, g) + m\mathcal{Q}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \frac{m}{2}N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& m(4\underline{d}(H) - 3\bar{d}(H))T(r, g) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{m(3\Gamma - 3\underline{d}(H) + 2Q) + 7}{2} \right) \bar{N}(r, g) + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) \\
& + 2m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, f) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& + \frac{m}{2}N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.9) and (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
& m(6\underline{d}(H) - 5\bar{d}(H))(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq \left(\frac{m(5\Gamma - 5\underline{d}(H) + 2Q) + 7}{2} \right) \\
& + mQ \left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \right] \\
& + \frac{m}{2}N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + \frac{m}{2}N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& m(6\underline{d}(H) - 5\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) \leq \left(\frac{m(5\Gamma - 5\underline{d}(H) + 2Q) + 7}{2} \right) \bar{N}(r, f) \\
& + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \frac{m}{2}N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{2mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 7}{2} \right) \Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) \\
& + \frac{m}{2}\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{4mQ + 5m\Gamma - 17m\underline{d}(H) + 10m\bar{d}(H) + 5m + 7}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{2mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 7}{2} \right) \Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) \\
& + \frac{m}{2}\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{4mQ + 5m\Gamma - 17m\underline{d}(H) + 10m\bar{d}(H) + 5m + 7}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\left\{\left(\frac{2mQ+5m\Gamma-5m\underline{d}(H)+7}{2}\right)\Theta(\infty, f)+mQ\Theta(0, f)\right. \\
& +\frac{m}{2}\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)})+2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}), \\
& \left.\left(\frac{2mQ+5m\Gamma-5m\underline{d}(H)+7}{2}\right)\Theta(\infty, g)+mQ\Theta(0, g)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{m}{2}\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)})+2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)})\right\} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{4mQ+5m\Gamma-17m\underline{d}(H)+10m\bar{d}(H)+5m+7}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 1.2: If $l \geq 2$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & +2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_E^{\zeta}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, G)+S(r, F)+S(r, G)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{\zeta}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{\zeta}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& +N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right)+N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{\zeta}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{\zeta}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+T(r, G)+N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) \\
& +N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From lemma (2.3), (3.2) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) & \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f) \\
& +\bar{N}_{\zeta}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{\zeta}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+T(r, G)+N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right)+N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) \\
& -N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right)-N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
T(r, F) & \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+3\bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3m\underline{d}(H) - 2m\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) - 2m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, g) \\
& \leq (3 + mQ + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H)))\bar{N}(r, f) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) \\
& + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3m\underline{d}(H) - 2m\bar{d}(H))T(r, g) - 2m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, f) \\
& \leq (3 + mQ + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H)))\bar{N}(r, g) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) \\
& + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

On adding (3.12) and (3.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3m\underline{d}(H) - 2m\bar{d}(H))[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] - 2m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\
& \leq (3 + mQ + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H)))[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] + mQ\left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right] \\
& + m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (5m\underline{d}(H) - 4m\bar{d}(H))[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\
& \leq (3 + mQ + 2m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H)))[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] + mQ\left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right] \\
& + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& (5m\underline{d}(H) - 4m\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) \leq (3 + mQ + 2m\Gamma - 2m\underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
& + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3m + mQ + 2m\Gamma - 2m\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& \leq 2mQ + 2m\Gamma - 7m\underline{d}(H) + 4m\bar{d}(H) + 3 + 2m
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3m + mQ + 2m\Gamma - 2m\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& \leq 2mQ + 2m\Gamma - 7m\underline{d}(H) + 4m\bar{d}(H) + 3 + 2m
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min[(3m + mQ + 2m\Gamma - 2m\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}), \\
& (3m + mQ + 2m\Gamma - 2m\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; g^{\underline{d}(H)})] \\
& \leq 2mQ + 2m\Gamma - 7m\underline{d}(H) + 4m\bar{d}(H) + 3 + 2m
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 1.3: If $l = 0$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_E^1\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &+ 2N_E^2\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
&\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, F) \\
&\leq T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
&\leq N\left(r, \frac{F}{F'}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{F}{F}\right) + S(r, F) \\
&\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, F)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly

$$\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + S(r, G) \tag{3.16}$$

Combining (3.2), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) we get

$$T(r, F) \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 6\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f)$$

By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&m\left\{\underline{d}(H)T(r, f) - Q\left[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right]\right\} \\
&\leq (3m\Gamma - 3m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\bar{N}(r, f) + 2m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) + 6m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, f) \\
&+ 4m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, g) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
&+ 2mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
&(7m\underline{d}(H) - 6m\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) \\
&\leq (mQ + 3m\Gamma - 3m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\bar{N}(r, f) + 2m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) \\
&+ 4m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, g) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
&+ mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
&+ mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& (7m\underline{d}(H) - 6m\bar{d}(H))T(r, g) \\
& \leq (mQ + 3m\Gamma - 3m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\bar{N}(r, g) + 2m(\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) \\
& + 4m(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, f) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

On adding (3.17) and (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (11m\underline{d}(H) - 10\bar{d}(H))[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\
& \leq (mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 6)[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] + mQ\left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right] \\
& + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 3mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + 3mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

Now Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& (11m\underline{d}(H) - 10\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) \\
& \leq (mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\bar{N}(r, f) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
& + 2mN_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 3mN_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + 3m\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \leq 2mQ + 5m\Gamma - 16\underline{d}(H) + 10\bar{d}(H) + 6 + 5m
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& (mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + 3m\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \leq 2mQ + 5m\Gamma - 16\underline{d}(H) + 10\bar{d}(H) + 6 + 5m
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\{(mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + 3m\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, f^{\underline{d}(H)}), (mQ + 5m\Gamma - 5m\underline{d}(H) + 6)\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) \\
& + 2m\delta_{2+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 3m\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0, g^{\underline{d}(H)})\} \\
& \leq (2mQ + 5m\Gamma + 10\bar{d}H - 16\underline{d}(H) + 6 + 5m)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim and $\psi \equiv 0$

i.e

$$\left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right)$$

Integrating, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{C}{G-1} + D \tag{3.19}$$

where $C \neq 0$ and D are constants. Now the following three cases arise

Case (i): If $D \neq 0, -1$

Rearranging (3.19) as

$$\frac{G-1}{C} = \frac{F-1}{D+1-DF}$$

We have

$$\bar{N}(r, G) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{D+1}{D}}\right) + S(r, f)$$

By Second Fundamental Theorem of Nevanlinna and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$m\left\{\underline{d}(H)T(r, f) - Q\left[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)\right]\right\} + S(r, f) \leq T(r, H[f])$$

$$\begin{aligned} & m\left\{\underline{d}(H)T(r, f) - Q\left[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)\right]\right\} \\ & \leq \bar{N}(r, H[f]) + \bar{N}\left(\frac{1}{H[f]}\right) + \bar{N}(r, H[g]) + S(r, f) \\ & \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + (\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))2T(r, f) \\ & \quad + (\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & m\left\{\underline{d}(H)T(r, f) - Q\bar{N}(r, f) - Q\bar{N}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)\right\} \leq (1 + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}(r, g) + 2(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, f) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & ((m+2)\underline{d}(H) - 2\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) \leq (1 + mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g) \\ & \quad + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & ((m+2)\underline{d}(H) - 2\bar{d}(H))T(r, g) \leq (1 + mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, f) \\ & \quad + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

On adding (3.20) and (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [(m+2)\underline{d}(H) - 2\bar{d}(H)][T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\ & \leq (2 + mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] + mQ\left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right] \\ & \quad + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$[(m+2)\underline{d}(H) - 2\bar{d}(H)]T(r, f) \leq (2+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f)$$

We get

$$(2+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + \delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \leq 2mQ + \Gamma - (m+3)\underline{d}(H) + 2\bar{d}(H) + 3$$

Similarly

$$(2+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + \delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \leq 2mQ + \Gamma - (m+3)\underline{d}(H) + 2\bar{d}(H) + 3$$

Therefore

$$\min\{(2+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + \delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}), (2+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + \delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2\bar{d}(H) + 3\} \leq 2mQ + \Gamma - (m+3)\underline{d}(H) + 2\bar{d}(H) + 3$$

Which contradicts (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4).

Case (ii): If $D=0$, then we have

$$G = CF - (C - 1) \tag{3.22}$$

Therefore, if $c \neq 1$, then

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{C-1}{C}}\right)$$

By Nevanlinna's Second Fundamental Theorem and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} m \left\{ \underline{d}(H)T(r, f) - Q \left[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) \right] \right\} &\leq T(r, H[f]) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, H[f]) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{H[f]}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{H[g]}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (1 + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) + 2(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, f) + 2(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, g) \\ &\quad + (\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((m+2)\underline{d}(H) - 2\bar{d}(H))T(r, f) &\leq (1+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, f) + mQ\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + (\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) + 2(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))T(r, g) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\ &\quad + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((m+2)\underline{d}(H) - 2\bar{d}(H) \right) T(r, g) \leq (1+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H)) \bar{N}(r, g) + mQ \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& + (\Gamma - \underline{d}(H)) \bar{N}(r, f) + 2(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H)) T(r, f) + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

On adding (3.23) and (3.24)

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(m+2)\underline{d}(H) - 2\bar{d}(H)][T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \leq (1+mQ + \Gamma - \underline{d}(H))[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] \\
& + mQ \left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \right] + (\Gamma - \underline{d}(H))[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] \\
& + 2(\bar{d}(H) - \underline{d}(H))[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + 2N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& + 2N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(m+4)\underline{d}(H) - 4\bar{d}(H)][T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\
& \leq (1+mQ + 2\Gamma - 2\underline{d}(H))[\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)] + mQ \left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \right] \\
& + 2N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + 2N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

Now Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(m+4)\underline{d}(H) - 4\bar{d}(H)]T(r, f) \leq (1+mQ + 2\Gamma - 2\underline{d}(H))\bar{N}(r, g) \\
& + mQ \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) + S(r, f)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+mQ + 2\Gamma - 2\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& \leq 2mQ + 2\Gamma - (6+m)\underline{d}(H) + 4\bar{d}(H) + 3
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+mQ + 2\Gamma - 2\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + 2\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)}) \\
& \leq 2mQ + 2\Gamma - (6+m)\underline{d}(H) + 4\bar{d}(H) + 3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\{(1+mQ + 2\Gamma - 2\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}), \\
& (1+mQ + 2\Gamma - 2\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + 2\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)})\} \\
& 2mQ + 2\Gamma - (6+m)\underline{d}(H) + 4\bar{d}(H) + 3
\end{aligned}$$

Which contradicts to (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). Thus $C = 1$ and hence, in this case we have $F = G$.

$$\therefore H[f] = H[g]$$

Case (iii): If $D \equiv -1$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv \frac{C}{G-1} - 1 \tag{3.25}$$

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{C}{C+1}}\right)$$

Therefore if $C \neq -1$, then (2.4), we get the conclusion which is the same as case (ii)

By using Nevanlinna's Second Fundamental Theorem and Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{(1+mQ+2\Gamma-2\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, f) + mQ\Theta(0, f) + 2\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; f^{\underline{d}(H)}), \\ & (1+mQ+2\Gamma-2\underline{d}(H))\Theta(\infty, g) + mQ\Theta(0, g) + 2\delta_{1+\Gamma-\underline{d}(H)}(r, 0; g^{\underline{d}(H)})\} \\ & \leq 2mQ + 2\Gamma - (6+m)\underline{d}(H) + 4\overline{d}(H) + 3 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). Therefore $C = -1$ and so in this case from (3.16), we obtain

$$FG \equiv 1$$

$$\therefore H[f]H[g] \equiv 1$$

Therefore, we get either $H[f] \equiv H[g]$ or $H[f]H[g] \equiv 1$. Hence the proof of the Theorem 1.1

References

- [1] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, United Kingdom (1964).
- [2] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, De Gruyter, Berlin (1993).
- [3] C. C. Yang and H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2003).
- [4] Yang, L. *Value distribution theory and its new research*. Science Press. (In Chinese), Beijing (1982).
- [5] Bhoosnurmath, Subhas S., N. Shilpa, and Mahesh Barki. *Results on entire* 1 Journal, 12, 227-236 (2019).
- [6] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Kabbur, On entire and meromorphic functions that share one small function with their differential polynomial, *Int. J. Anal.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 926340.
- [7] H. X. Yi, *Meromorphic function that share one or two value II*, *Kodai Math. J.*, 22, 264-272 (1999).
- [8] T. C. Alzahary and H.-X. Yi, *Weighted value sharing and a question of I. Lahiri*, *Complex Variables*. 49(15), 1063-1078(2004).
- [9] B. Chakraborty, Some uniqueness results related to the Brück conjecture, *Analysis (Berlin)*, 38(2), 91-100(2018).
- [10] Waghmore, Harina P., and Vijaylaxmi Bhoosnurmath. *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions of differential polynomials sharing a small function with finite weight*. *Journal of Applied Analysis*, 25(2), 141-153(2019).
- [11] L. A. Rubel and C. C. Yang, *Values shared by an entire function and its derivative*, *Complex analysis*, Proceedings of the Conference, Univ. Kentucky, Lexington, Ky., 1976, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin 599, 101-103 (1977).
- [12] E. Mues and N. Steinmetz, *Meromorphe Funktionen die unit ihrer Ableitung Werte teilen*, *Manuscripta Math.*, 29, 195-206 (1979).
- [13] Lahiri and A. Sarkar, *Uniqueness of meromorphic function and its derivative*, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5, 1-9 (2004).
- [14] Q. C. Zhang, *The uniqueness of meromorphic functions with their derivatives*, *Kodai Math. J.*, 21, 170-184 (1998).
- [15] Q. C. Zhang, *Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative*, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6, 1-13 (2015).
- [16] T. D. Zhang and W. R. Lu, *Notes on meromorphic function sharing one small function with its derivative*, *Complex Var. Ellip. Eqn.*, 53, 857-867 (2008).
- [17] A. Banerjee and S. Majumder, *On the uniqueness of a power of a meromorphic function sharing a small function with the power of its derivative*, *Comment. Math Univ. Carolin.*, 51, 565-576 (2010).
- [18] K. S. Charak and B. Lal, *Uniqueness of $p(f)$ and $P[f]$* , *Turkish J. Math.* 40(3), 569-581 (2016).
- [19] Pramanik, Dilip. *Weighted Set Sharing and Uniqueness of Meromorphic Functions*, *Konuralp Journal of Mathematics*, 7(2), 243-251(2019).