

SOLVING MULTI-OBJECTIVE LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS BY STATISTICAL AVERAGING AND NEW STATISTICAL AVERAGING METHOD

R. Suganya and U. Afrin

PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Theivanai Ammal college for women (Autonomous), Villupuram - 605 602, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we proposed a statistical averaging method (Quadratic mean) and a new statistical averaging method (New Quadratic mean) for multi-objective linear programming problem (MOLPP). We use Chandra Sen's technique for converting MOLPP into a single objective function. We have solved some numerical examples to provide the utility of these methods.

Keywords

Multi-objective linear programming problem, Chandra Sen, Quadratic averaging, New Quadratic averaging.

1. Introduction

A Multi-objective linear programming problem is a linear programming problem with more than one objective function considered simultaneously minimized or maximized subject to the common set of constraints. It is the special type of Goal programming problem where we determine target values for each goal. The three components of any optimization problem are the decision variables (activities), the objective (goal) and the constraints (restrictions).

2. Definition

Any set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+m}\}$ of variables is called a feasible solution to LP problem, if it satisfies the set of constraints and non-negativity restrictions also.

3. A formal specification of Multi Objective optimization problem

Let us Consider a Multi Objective optimization problem with n decision variables and m objective functions

$$\text{Min or Max } y = f(x) = [y_1 \in f_1(x), y_2 \in f_2(x), \dots, y_k \in f_k(x)] \quad (1)$$

$$x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \in X$$

$$y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n] \in Y$$

where

x decision vector

y objective vector

X decision space

Y objective space

Subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j (\leq, =, \geq) b_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (2)$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

c_j coefficient represents per unit contribution of decision variable x_j to the value of objective function

a_{ij} technological coefficients represents the amount of resources (say i) consumed per unit of variable (activity), it can be positive/negative/zero

b_i represents the total availability of the i'th resource, $b_i \geq 0$ for all i

4. MOLPP solving methodologies

4.1. Chandra Sen's technique

The Multi objective function can be solved and be changed into a single objective function by the following formula

$$\text{Max } Z = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{z_i}{|\lambda_i|} - \sum_{i=m+1}^n \frac{z_i}{|\lambda_i|} \quad (3)$$

This can be solved by using simplex method with the same constraints (2).

4.2. Algorithm for Chandra Sen's technique

The optimal solution for MOLPP can be obtain by the following steps:

Step 1: Find the values of each of the individual objective functions that has to be maximized or minimized.

Step 2: Solve the first objective function.

Step 3: Check the feasibility of the obtained solution, if it is feasible proceed further otherwise use the method of dual simplex and remove the infeasibility.

Step 4: Label the optimum value of the first objective function as λ_1 .

Step 5: Repeat from step 2, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Step 6: Determine Chandra Sen's technique by 3.

Step 7: Optimize the combined objective function (3), under the same constraints (2), by repeating steps 2-4.

5. Quadratic Averaging technique

For making multi-objective functions into a single objective function

$$\text{Max } Z = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{z_i}{\text{Q.M}(AA_i)} - \sum_{i=m+1}^n \frac{z_i}{\text{Q.M}(AL_i)}$$

where

$$AA_i = |\lambda_i| \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$AL_i = |\lambda_i| \quad i = 1+m, \dots, n$$

6. New Quadratic Averaging technique

Let

$$m_1 = \min\{AA_i\} \text{ where } AA_i = |\lambda_i|, \lambda_i \text{ is the minimum value of } z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$m_2 = \min\{AL_i\} \text{ where } AL_i = |\lambda_i|, \lambda_i \text{ is the minimum value of } z_i, i = m+1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\text{Q.Av} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^2}$$

So

$$\text{Max } Z = [\sum_{i=1}^m z_i - \sum_{i=m+1}^n z_i] / \text{Q.Av}$$

7. Used Notations

λAA_i = value of objective functions which is to be maximized

λAL_i = value of objective functions which is to be minimized

Therefore

$$AA_i = |\lambda_i| \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$AL_i = |\lambda_i| \quad i = 1+m, \dots, n$$

$$\text{SM} = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \quad \text{SN} = \sum_{i=m+1}^n z_i$$

$$m_1 = \min\{AA_i\} \quad m_2 = \min\{AL_i\}$$

$$\text{Max } Z = (\text{SM} - \text{SN}) / \text{Q.Av}$$

8. Numerical Examples

Example (1)

$$\text{Max } z_1 = x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$\text{Max } z_2 = x_1$$

$$\text{Min } z_3 = -2x_1 - 3x_2$$

$$\text{Min } z_4 = -x_2$$

Subject to

$$6x_1 + 8x_2 \leq 48$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \geq 3$$

$$x_1 \leq 4$$

$$x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution

Finding the value of each individual objective function by using simplex method, we obtain

	λ_i	X_i	$AA_i= \lambda_i $	$AL_i= \lambda_i $
1	10	(4,3)	10	
2	4	(4,3)	4	
3	-17	(4,3)		17
4	-3	(4,3)		3

By Chandra Sen's technique,

$$\text{Max } Z = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{z_i}{|\lambda_i|} - \sum_{i=m+1}^n \frac{z_i}{|\lambda_i|}$$

$$\text{Max } Z = \frac{x_1 + 2x_2}{10} + \frac{x_1}{4} - \left[\frac{-2x_1 - 3x_2}{-17} + \frac{-x_2}{-3} \right]$$

Thus, we get a single objective function as

$$\text{Max } Z = 0.2323x_1 - 0.3098x_2$$

Subject to the same given constraints

By simplex method, the optimal solution is

$$\text{Max } Z = 0.9292 \quad x_1 = 4, x_2 = 0$$

Quadratic averaging,

$$\text{Q.M}(AA_i) = \sqrt{\frac{(10)^2 + (4)^2}{2}} = 7.6157$$

$$\text{Q.M}(AL_i) = \sqrt{\frac{(17)^2 + (3)^2}{2}} = 12.2065$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } Z &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{z_i}{\text{Q.M}(AA_i)} - \sum_{i=m+1}^n \frac{z_i}{\text{Q.M}(AL_i)} \\ &= \frac{1}{7.6157} \sum z_i - \frac{1}{12.2065} \sum z_i \\ &= \frac{1}{7.6157} (2x_1 + 2x_2) - \frac{1}{12.2065} (-2x_1 - 4x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get a single objective function as

$$\text{Max } Z = 0.4264x_1 + 0.5902x_2$$

Subject to the same given constraints

By simplex method, the optimal solution is

$$\text{Max } Z = 3.4762 \quad x_1 = 4, x_2 = 3$$

New Quadratic averaging,

Here a=4, b=3

$$\text{Q.Av} = \sqrt{\frac{(4)^2 + (3)^2}{2}} = 3.5355$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } Z &= [\sum_{i=1}^m z_i - \sum_{i=m+1}^n z_i] / \text{Q.Av} \\ &= \frac{1}{3.5355} [4x_1 + 6x_2] \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get a single objective function as

$$\text{Max } Z = 1.1313x_1 + 1.6970x_2$$

Subject to the same given constraints

By simplex method, the optimal solution is

$$\text{Max } Z = 9.6162 \quad x_1 = 4, x_2 = 3$$

Example (2)

$$\text{Max } z_1 = x_1$$

$$\text{Max } z_2 = 2 + x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$\text{Max } z_3 = 3 + x_2$$

$$\text{Min } z_4 = -3x_2$$

$$\text{Min } z_5 = -x_1 - 3x_2$$

Subject to

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 6$$

$$x_1 \leq 4$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 2$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution

Finding the value of each individual objective function by using simplex method, we obtain

	λ_i	X_i	$AA_i = \lambda_i $	$AL_i = \lambda_i $
1	2	(2,0)	2	
2	4	(0,1)	4	
3	4	(0,1)	4	
4	-3	(0,1)		3
5	-3	(0,1)		3

By Chandra Sen's technique,

$$\text{Max } Z = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{z_i}{|\lambda_i|} - \sum_{i=m+1}^n \frac{z_i}{|\lambda_i|}$$

$$\text{Max } Z = \frac{x_1}{2} + \frac{2+x_1+2x_2}{4} + \frac{3+x_2}{4} - \left[\frac{-3x_2}{3} + \frac{-x_1-3x_2}{3} \right]$$

Thus, we get a single objective function as

$$\text{Max } Z = 1.25 + 1.0833x_1 - 2.75x_2$$

Subject to the same given constraints

By simplex method, the optimal solution is

$$\text{Max } Z = 4 \quad x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$$

Quadratic averaging,

$$\text{Q.M } (AA_i) = \sqrt{\frac{(2)^2 + (4)^2 + (4)^2}{3}} = 3.4641$$

$$\text{Q.M } (AL_i) = \sqrt{\frac{(3)^2 + (3)^2}{2}} = 3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } Z &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{z_i}{\text{Q.M}(AA_i)} - \sum_{i=m+1}^n \frac{z_i}{\text{Q.M}(AL_i)} \\ &= \frac{1}{3.4641} \sum z_i - \frac{1}{3} \sum z_i \\ &= \frac{1}{3.4641} (2x_1 + 3x_2 + 5) - \frac{1}{3} (-x_1 - 6x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get a single objective function as

$$\text{Max } Z = 1.4433 + 0.9106x_1 + 2.8660x_2$$

Subject to the same given constraints

By simplex method, the optimal solution is

$$\text{Max } Z = 4.3093 \quad x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$$

New Quadratic averaging,

Here a=4, b=3

$$\text{Q.Av} = \sqrt{\frac{(2)^2 + (3)^2}{2}} = 2.5495$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } Z &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^m z_i - \sum_{i=m+1}^n z_i \right] / \text{Q.Av} \\ &= \frac{1}{2.5495} [5 + 3x_1 + 9x_2] \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get a single objective function as

$$\text{Max } Z = 1.9611 + 1.1767x_1 + 3.5301x_2$$

Subject to the same given constraints

By simplex method, the optimal solution is

$$\text{Max } Z = 5.4912 \quad x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$$

Comparison between applied techniques

Examples	Chandra Sen's Technique	Quadratic Avg.	New Quadratic Avg.
(1)	Max Z = 0.9292 $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 0$	Max Z = 3.4762 $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 3$	Max Z = 9.6162 $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 3$
(2)	Max Z = 4 $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$	Max Z = 4.3093 $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$	Max Z = 5.4912 $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$

Conclusion

We have developed two techniques using Quadratic mean for solving MOLPP and the results have been compared in the above table. Thus, the new averaging technique gives better results than the averaging technique.

References

[1] N.A.Sulaiman and R.B.Mustafa, "Using harmonic mean to solve multi-objective linear programming problems", American journal of operations research, 6, 25-30, 2016.

[2] M.S.Abdul Kadir and N.A.Sulaiman, "An approach for multi-objective fractional programming problem", Journal of the college of education, University of Salahaddin, vol.3. pp 1-5, 1993.

[3] S.Nahar and M.A.Alim, "A new geometric average technique to solve multi-objective linear fractional programming problem and comparison with new arithmetic average technique", IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) e-ISSN: 2278-5728, p-ISSN: 2319-765X. vol.13, issue 3 ver. 1, pp 39-52, DOI: 10.9790/5728-1303013952, 2017.

[4] S.H.Frederick and J.L.Gerald, "Introduction to operations research", seventh edition published by McGraw-Hill, an imprint of the McGraw-Hill companies, inc., 1221, 2001.

[5] B.K.Abdul Rahim, "On extreme point quadratic fractional programming problem", Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol.8, no. 6, 261-277, 2014.

[6] M.A.Nawkhass, "On solving quadratic fractional programming problems", M.Sc. Thesis, Salahaddin University Erbil/Iraq, 2014.

[7] S.Nahar and M.A.Alim, "Weighted sum method for making single objective from multi-objective linear programming problem (MOLPP) and comparison with Chandra Sen's method", (accepted) BSME conference (BUET), 2018.

[8] S.Nahar and M.A.Alim, "A new statistical averaging method to solve multi-objective linear programming problem", International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), ISSN: 2319-7064, Vol.6 no.8, 2017.

[9] N.A.Sulaiman, R.M.Abdullah and S.O.Abdul, "Using optimal geometric average technique to solve extreme point multi-objective quadratic programming problems", Journal of Zankoi Sulaimani, Part-A (Pure Applied Science), 2016.

[10] T.Hossain, M.R.Arefin and M.A.Islam, "An alternative approach for solving extreme point linear and linear fractional programming problem", Dhaka University. J. Sci. Vol.63. no.2, pp 77-84, 2015.

[11] N.A.Sulaiman, "Extreme point quadratic programming techniques" M.Sc. Thesis, University of Salahaddin, Erbil/Iraq, 1989.

[12] A.O.Hamad-Amin, "An adaptive arithmetic average transformation technique for solving MOLPP", M.Sc. Thesis, University of Koya, Koya/Iraq, 2008.

[13] M.J.L.Kirby, H.R.Love and S.Kanti, "Cutting plan algorithm for extreme point mathematical programming", Cashier. Ducentre D Etudes De Recherche Operationelle, Vol.14, no.1 pp 27-42, 1972.

[14] C.Sen, "A new approach for multi objective rural development planning", The Indian Economic Journal, Vol.30, pp. 91-96.

[15] T.Sottiner, "Operation Research with GNU linear programming Kit", University of Vassa, August 2009.

[16] N.A.Sulaiman and W.Sadiq Gulnar, (2006), "Solving the multi-objective programming problem using mean and median value", Ref.J of Comp and Math's, 3.

[17] Jothikumar,J. (2004) Statistics 60 G.S.M. Paper, p.100.

[18] A.Azapagic and R.Clift (1999) Life cycle assessment and multi objective optimization, Journal of Cleaner Production, 7, 135-143.